Derivational morphology in flux: A corpus study of word-formation change in German

The availability of large annotated corpora has opened up new perspectives on the development of word-formation patterns in German (see e.g. Author 2016; Kempf 2016; Kopf 2017). At the same time, recent in-depth studies of the diachronic development of several German word-formation patterns have also shown that some long-standing issues in corpus-based (historical) morphology are still far from resolved. For instance, it is still an open question how the productivity of a pattern can best be assessed. From a diachronic perspective, this question is all the more important, given that word-formation change can be defined as change in productivity (e.g. Scherer 2006, 2007).

This paper draws on the example of the German nominalization pattern [V-ung], e.g. Landung 'landing', Bildung 'education', to demonstrate how a mixed-methods approach as advocated by e.g. Author & Co-Author (2016) can help us gain a comprehensive, nuanced, and data-driven picture of how a word-formation pattern changes. Demske (2000) has already pointed out that the word-formation pattern of ung-nominalization experiences a steep decline in productivity from the Early New High German (ENHG) period onwards. This can partly be explained by the lexicalization of highly frequent word-formation products, which leads to a decrease in "schema strength" (Bybee 1995), i.e. the extant word-formation products cannot serve as "templates" for new formations any more to the same extent as they could in the past.

The present study combines data from three different corpora: The Mainz Early New High German Corpus (Kopf 2016), the GerManC corpus (Durrell et al. 2007), and DTA*baby* (Author 2018), a balanced subset of the German Text Archive (DTA, Geyken & Gloning 2015). To assess changes in the word-formation pattern's productivity, a number of measures is used, including Baayen's hapax-based measure of potential productivity (Baayen 2009), extrapolation techniques using a finite Zipf-Mandelbrot model (Evert 2004), and methods drawing on new types rather than hapax legomena, as discussed in e.g. Cowie (1999), Berg (forthc.), and Author & Co-Author (forthc.). The combination of different methods lends support to an overall decrease in productivity but also allows for identifying trends that have been overlooked in previous research, such as a slight surge in productivity in the 18th century, when many French loan verbs in *-ieren* entered the German language (see e.g. Schmidt 2007), which are quite eligible as bases for *ung*-nominalization to the present day.

In addition, the data have been annotated for the (syntactic) context in which the derivatives appear, which allows for a detailed quantitative analysis of their usage profiles – and especially of the way their use changes over time. Both the analyses of individual variables and an aggregated analysis using Multi-Dimensional Scaling (see e.g. Wheeler 2005) lend support to Demske's (2000) hypothesis that *ung*-nominals tend to occur in (semantically and syntactically) less "verby" contexts. This in turn substantiates the idea that the diachronic development of the word-formation pattern in question can be explained in terms of decreasing schema strength, as sketched above. At the same time, however, the corpus-based and data-driven approach taken here shows that the diachronic development of the pattern is less straightforward and much more multi-faceted than was previously acknowledged.

References

- Baayen, R. Harald. 2009. Corpus Linguistics in Morphology: Morphological Productivity. In Anke Lüdeling & Merja Kytö (eds.), *Corpus Linguistics*, 899–919. (HSK 29.2). Berlin, New York: De Gruyter.
- Berg, Kristian. forthc. Productivity, vocabulary size, and new words. A reply to Säily (2016). To appear in: *Corpus Linguistics and Linguistic Theory*.
- Bybee, Joan. 1995. Regular Morphology and the Lexicon. *Language and Cognitive Processes* 10(5). 425–455.
- Cowie, Claire. 1999. Diachronic word-formation: a corpus-based study of derived nominalizations in the history of English (PhD Dissertation, University of Cambridge). Cambridge.
- Demske, Ulrike. 2000. Zur Geschichte der ung-Nominalisierung im Deutschen: Ein Wandel morphologischer Produktivität. *Beiträge zur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache und Literatur* 122. 365–411.
- Durrell, Martin, Astrid Ensslin & Paul Bennett. 2007. The GerManC Project. *Sprache und Datenverarbeitung* 31. 71–80.
- Evert, Stefan. 2004. A simple LNRE model for random character sequences. *Proceedings of JADT 2004*, 411–422.
- Geyken, Alexander & Thomas Gloning. 2015. A living text archive of 15th-19th century German: Corpus strategies, technology, organization. In Jost Gippert & Ralf Gehrke (eds.), *Historical Corpora*, 165–180. Tübingen: Narr.
- Kempf, Luise. 2016. *Adjektivsuffixe in Konkurrenz. Wortbildungswandel vom Frühneuhochdeutschen zum Neuhochdeutschen*. Berlin, Boston: de Gruyter.
- Kopf, Kristin. 2016. Das Mainzer (Früh-)Neuhochdeutschkorpus. Mainz: University of Mainz.
- Kopf, Kristin. 2017. Fugenelement und Bindestrich in der Compositions-Fuge. Zur Herausbildung phonologischer und graphematischer Grenzmarkierungen in (früh)neuhochdeutschen N+N-Komposita. In Nanna Fuhrhop, Renata Szczepaniak & Karsten Schmidt (eds.), *Sichtbare und hörbare Morphologie*, 177–204. (Linguistische Arbeiten 565). Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter.
- Scherer, Carmen. 2006. Was ist Wortbildungswandel? Linguistische Berichte 205. 3–28.
- Scherer, Carmen. 2007. The Role of Productivity in Word-Formation Change. In Joseph C. Salmons & Shannon Dubenion-Smith (eds.), *Historical Linguistics* 2005, 257–271. (Current Issues in Linguistic Theory 284). Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Schmidt, Wilhelm. 2007. Geschichte der deutschen Sprache: Ein Lehrbuch für das germanistische Studium. 10th ed. Stuttgart: Hirzel.
- Wheeler, Eric S. 2005. Multidimensional Scaling for Linguists. In Reinhard Köhler, Gabriel Altmann & Rajmund G. Piotrowski (eds.), *Quantitative Linguistics*, 548–553. (HSK 27). Berlin, New York: De Gruyter.