## The semantics of -ing: eventivity, quantification, aspect

## Rochelle Lieber

University of New Hampshire

In this paper I consider the lexical semantic contribution of the affix -ing (as in the doctor's examining of the patient) to deverbal nominalizations. I will first present data from a corpusbased study (Andreou & Lieber, 2019) showing - contrary to claims in the literature (Grimshaw 1990, Biese 1941, Langacker 1991, Brinton 1995, Alexiadou 2001, among others) – that the suffix -ing can convey both referential and eventive readings, can exhibit both mass and count quantification, and that when eventive, can convey both bounded and unbounded aspect. I will show that -ing in fact does not differ from deverbal nouns formed by conversion in the breadth of readings that it can support. Deverbal nouns formed by conversion also allow both referential and eventive, mass and count, bounded and unbounded readings. Indeed, I will show that the formal means of nominalization does not determine eventivity, quantification, or aspect, but rather than these facets of meaning are largely determined by context. The question then arises of how we can model the semantics of nominalizers like -ing (or conversion) in syntactic or morphological theory. My argument will be that nominalizers cannot be analyzed as "rigid designators," as assumed in Borer's (2013) exoskeletal framework or in most versions of Distributed Morphology that I am aware of, but rather that a theoretical treatment must allow for substantial underspecification of affixal semantics as well as for mechanisms that allow context to determine key aspects of the nominalization's reading, as is possible within the Lexical Semantic Framework (LSF) of Lieber (2004, 2016).

## References

Alexiadou, Artemis 2001. Functional structure in nominals. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Andreou, Marios & Rochelle Lieber (2019). Aspectual and quantificational porperties of deverbal conversion and -ing nominalizations: The power of context. *English Language and Linguistics*, in press.

Biese, Y 1941. Origin and development of conversions in English. *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae B XLV-2*: 1-495.

Borer, Hagit 2013. Taking form: Structuring Sense vol 3. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Brinton, Laurel 1995. The aktionsart of deverbal nouns in English. In Pier Marco Bertinetto, Valentina Bianchi, James Higginbotham, and Mario Squartini (eds.), *Temporal Reference, Aspect, and Actionality, vol. I, Semantic and Syntactic Perspectives*. Torino: Rosenberg and Sellier, 27-42.

Grimshaw, Jane 1990. Argument structure. MIT Press.

Langacker, Ronald 1991. Foundations of Cognitive Grammar. Vol. 2 Descriptive Applications. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Lieber, Rochelle 2004. *Morphology and Lexical Semantics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lieber, Rochelle 2016. *English Nouns: The Ecology of Nominalization*. Cambridge University Press.