

---

## French Object Relatives and subject inversion: what's your preference?

---

Céline Pozniak <i>Paris-Diderot</i> <i>University</i> celine.pozniak@gmail.com	Barbara Hemforth <i>CNRS, Paris-Diderot</i> <i>University</i> barbara.hemforth@linguist.univ- paris-diderot.fr	Anne Abeillé <i>Paris-Diderot</i> <i>University</i> abeille@linguist.univ- paris-diderot.fr
---	--	---

Subject inversion in French is restricted to certain constructions (Marandin, 2011). We compare Object Relative Clauses with preverbal subjects (1) (OR<sub>s<sub>noinv</sub></sub>) and with postverbal subjects (2) (OR<sub>s<sub>inv</sub></sub>).

(1) l'homme que l'avocat voit\_      (2) l'homme que voit\_ l'avocat  
the man that<sub>obj</sub> the lawyer sees...      the man that<sub>obj</sub> sees the lawyer<sub>subj</sub>

In generative grammar, such subject inversion is considered as optional and the result of verb movement (Kayne & Pollock, 1978), with higher processing costs (see Holmes & O'Regan 1981 with reversible verbs). But other studies suggest that OR<sub>s<sub>inv</sub></sub> depend on factors such as semantics or length (Fuchs 2006, Marandin, 2011). Using the French Treebank (newspaper), we obtained 184 OR, with 48% inversion, and annotated verb semantics, subject and verb length, number of arguments, a. o. Using logistic regression models, we found that both ORs do not differ significantly in frequency, and that OR<sub>s<sub>inv</sub></sub> are preferred when the subject is longer, and the verb is shorter and non agentive. Thus, OR<sub>s<sub>noinv</sub></sub> and OR<sub>s<sub>inv</sub></sub> are not alternatives but are used in specific contexts. An acceptability judgment experiment testing subject length and agentivity with materials inspired from our corpus, confirmed that with a long subject and a non agentive verb, OR<sub>s<sub>inv</sub></sub> are preferred. Our results are compatible with semantic accounts in RC processing (Mak et al., 2006).

**References:** • Holmes, O'Regan (1981) Eye Fixation patterns during the reading of Relative-Clause sentences. *JVLVB* 20 417-430. • Kayne, Pollock. (1978) Stylistic inversion, successive cyclicity and move NP in French. *LI* 9: 595-621. • Mak, Vonk, Schriefers (2006) Animacy in processing relative clauses: The hikers that rocks crush, *JML* 54 466-490. • Marandin (2011) Subject Inversion in French. The Limits of Information Structure. Proc. 18th HPSG conference, CSLI Publications.